Applying Improved Vulnerability Theory for Reducing the Risk and Cost of Weather Disasters

Ilan Kelman¹ Heather Lazrus² Olga Wilhelmi¹ Roger Pulwarty³ Jean-Christophe Gaillard⁴ ¹National Center for Atmospheric Research (supported by the National Science Foundation) ²University of Washington ³NOAA ⁴Université Joseph Fourier Correspondence to Ilan Kelman ilan kelman@hotmail.com http://www.ilankelman.org



Disaster: From Hazard or Vulnerability?

In tackling weather-related disasters, the focus is frequently on the weather event, often termed the "hazard". Weather, however, is normal and often serves important ecological and societal functions such as fertilizing land and providing water. Disasters occur when a community's ability to cope with a weather event, which might or might not be extreme, is surpassed. Policies and decisions have created conditions over the longterm which often neglect communities' perception of their own context-and in some cases which have



vulnerability reduction.

Flood-ruined possessions, including irreplaceable items.

Community participation in exacerbated the extreme event. This process by which these conditions are created is termed "vulnerability".

Vulnerability Analysis

Most vulnerability analysis methods Improved assume that vulnerability is:

- •Quantitative: vulnerabilities can be •Qualitative: emotions and the value of calculated and summed.
- •Objective: vulnerability analysis is factual and indisputable.
- •Absolute: only the exact numbers are used to understand vulnerability.
- •Non-contextual: calculation methods are transferable to other locations.
- •Applicable to the current state: this snapshot in time gives the full story.

- theory that suggests vulnerability is additionally:
- intangibles (e.g. photos) are important.
- •Subjective: characteristics termed "vulnerable" depend on point of view.
- •Proportional: percentages of people or infrastructure affected matter.
- •Contextual: vulnerability depends on each specific situation.
- •A process with a past and future, which is not dictated by a single event.

Vulnerability Reduction

Factoring in the vulnerability traits suggested here entails:

- •Appraising possessions of emotional and cultural, as well as financial, value.
- •Understanding how culturally defined "normal" order has been disrupted.
- •Implementing programs which reduce absolute and proportional vulnerability.
- •Asking people who might be affected their views of their vulnerability.
- •Understanding the long-term impacts of interventions implemented.

The risk and cost of disasters can be reduced because:

- •The stress and discomfort of the disaster and recovery can be alleviated.
- •Culturally relevant solutions increase the likelihood of successful recovery.
- •With a lower proportion affected, more resources could be mobilized after.
- •Participation can galvanize people to solve their own problems.
- •An evolving, ongoing, and learning process is supported.

Key Sources

Hewitt (ed.), 1983, Interpretations of Calamity from the Viewpoint of Human Ecology, Allen & Unwin, London. Lewis, 1999, Development in Disaster-prone Places: Studies of Vulnerability, Intermediate Technology Publications, London. Oliver-Smith, 1986, The Martyred City: Death and Rebirth in the Andes, University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque. Wisner et al., 2004, At Risk: Natural Hazards, People's Vulnerability and Disasters, 2nd ed., Routledge, London.

Policy Implications

- •Different vulnerabilities within a population mean that different approaches should be used to ensure that people can initiate and direct the changes which need to be made.
- •Individual (e.g. gender) and community (e.g. cultural) characteristics must be factored into vulnerability reduction.
- •Understanding and addressing vulnerability depends on local contexts and interests meaning that vulnerability analysis and reduction is a political and cultural process.



Locally specific vulnerability reduction information in Boulder. Colorado.